

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/770,117	BERZOFKY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachariah Lucas	1648	

All Participants:

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

(2) Bruce H. Becke.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NA

Claims discussed:
NA

Prior art documents discussed:
NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the claims were in condition for allowance, but that the specification contained a reference to the priority applications that did not match that presented in the Application Data Sheet. It was agreed that the Examiner would amend the specification to reflect the priority statement in the Application Data Sheet. .